## FILED

MAY - 2 2013

MELINDA HAAG (CASBN 132612) United States Attorney

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE

ROBERT K. PRUITT (KYBN 93232) Special Assistant United States Attorney

> Defense Language Institute – Criminal Law 1336 Plummer Street, Building 275 Monterey, CA 93944 Telephone: (831) 242-6394 Email: robert.k.pruitt.mil@mail.mil

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SALINAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Criminal No.: CR-12-00772 PSG
Plaintiff,	) ) SECOND STIPULATION AND <del>) [PROPOSE</del> D] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
vs.	, [ ]
ANTOINE EDWARD DOMINIC TURNER,	) )
Defendant.	) )

On April 1, 2013 the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status hearing. The parties jointly requested that the case be continued until June 3, 2013 at 9:00 am, in order to allow counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation. In addition, the parties request an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, from April 1, 2013 to June 3, 2013. The parties agree and stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate based on the defendant's need for effective preparation of counsel.

25

26

SECOND STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CASE NO: CR-12-00772 PSG  $\,$ 

1 2	SO STIPULATED:	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
3 4	DATED: April 30, 2013	/S/ ROBERT K. PRUITT
5		Special Assistant United States Attorney
7 8	DATED: May 1, 2013	/S/ MANUEL ARAUJO Counsel for the Defendant
9		ODDED
11	A 1 1 6	ORDER
12	Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act from April 1, 2013 to June 3, 2013. The Court finds, based on the	
13	aforementioned reasons, that the ends of justice are served by granting the requested continuance	
14	and outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The failure to	
15		the parties reasonable time necessary for effective
16	preparation, taking into account the exercise	e of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage
17	of justice. The Court therefore concludes th	at this exclusion of time should be made under 18
18	U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).	$\wedge$
19	SO ORDERED.	
20	T)2/10	\h \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
21	DATED: 5 2 15	HOWARD R. LLOYD United States Magistrate Judge
22		United States Magistrate Judge
23		
24		
25		